

1 Bradford J. Sandler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
 2 Shirley S. Cho (*admitted pro hac vice*)
 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
 3 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Telephone: 310/277-6910
 4 Facsimile: 310/201-0760
 Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
 5 scho@pszjlaw.com

E-File: March 6, 2014

6 Zachariah Larson, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7787)
 7 Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
 LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC
 8 810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 Telephone: 702/382-1170
 9 Facsimile: 702/382-1169
 Email: zlarson@lzlawnv.com
 10 mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

11 [Proposed] Attorneys for the
 12 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 In re:

15 MARTIFER AURORA SOLAR, LLC, a
 16 Nevada limited liability company,

- 17 Affects Martifer Aurora Solar, LLC
 Affects Martifer Solar USA, Inc.
 18 Affects All Debtors

Case No.: BK-S-14-10355-abl
 and BK-S-14-10357-abl

Jointly Administered Under
 Case No.: BK-S-14-10355-abl

Chapter 11

**OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
 CREDITORS' OBJECTION TO CATHAY BANK'S
 EX PARTE MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING
 TIME TO HEAR CATHAY BANK'S MOTION FOR
 AN ORDER DIRECTING THE APPOINTMENT OF
 A CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE IN THESE
 BANKRUPTCY CASES PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §
 1104(A) OR, ALTERNATIVELY, CONVERTING
 OR DISMISSING THESE BANKRUPTCY CASES
 TO CASES UNDER CHAPTER 7 OF THE
 BANKRUPTCY CODE PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §
 1112(B)**

[Relates to Docket Nos. 292]

Hearing Date: TBD
 Time: TBD
 Place: 300 Las Vegas Blvd. So.
 Courtroom 1
 Las Vegas, NV 89101

1 The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “Committee”) of Martifer Aurora Solar,
 2 LLC (“Martifer”) and Martifer Solar USA, Inc. (“Martifer Solar”), the above-captioned debtors
 3 (collectively, the “Debtors”), files this Objection to the *Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening*
 4 *Time* (the “Ex Parte Application”) to Hear Secured Creditor Cathay Bank’s Motion for an Order
 5 Directing the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee in these Bankruptcy Cases Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.
 6 § 1104(a) or, Alternatively, Converting or Dismissing these Bankruptcy Cases to Cases Under
 7 Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b) (the “Trustee Motion”). The
 8 Committee respectfully represents:

9 **The Ex Parte Application Should be Denied**

10 The Committee retained counsel four business days ago and the Committee is in the process
 11 of executing its bylaws that will enable Committee members to receive access to confidential
 12 materials filed under seal, including the Trustee Motion. Thus, the Committee takes no position in
 13 this opposition to the underlying Trustee Motion.

14 The appointment of a chapter 11 trustee or conversion to chapter 7 would have drastic and
 15 irreversible effects on these cases. Given the significance of the requested relief, it is vital that the
 16 Committee and all parties in interest have a full and fair opportunity to evaluate and consider the
 17 Trustee Motion. Less than three business days’ notice is simply insufficient time to consider a
 18 motion of this magnitude. Moreover, if the Ex Parte Application is granted, the Committee will be
 19 deprived of its ability to conduct any discovery that may be necessary. Therefore, the Ex Parte
 20 Application should be denied and the Trustee Motion set on a fully noticed basis.

21 Pursuant to Rule 9006(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Rules”), a
 22 Bankruptcy Court can schedule a motion on less notice than otherwise required by the Rules, “for
 23 cause shown may in its discretion with or without motion or notice order the period reduced.” To
 24 satisfy the exigency requirement, Cathay Bank contends that good cause exists to shorten notice
 25 because there is “strong evidence of fraud, dishonesty and mismanagement on the part of the
 26 Debtors’ management and the Debtors’ multi-million dollar losses during just the first six weeks of
 27 these cases.” Ex Parte Appl’n at p. 2. But, it appears to the Committee that the conduct to which
 28 Cathay Bank cites as the basis for the Trustee Motion has either already occurred or has been

1 ongoing for several weeks already in the case of lack of profitability. In other words, there is no
2 exigency that would require the Trustee Motion to be set on such a drastically shortened basis, which
3 time period would deny all parties of due process.

4 WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Committee requests that the Ex Parte
5 Application be denied.

6 DATED this 6th day of March 2014.

7 **LARSON & ZIRZOW LLC**

8 */s/ Matthew C. Zirzow*
9

10 Zachariah Larson, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7787)
11 Matthew C. Zirzow (NV Bar No. 7222)
12 810 S. Casino Center Blvd. Ste 101
13 Las Vegas, NV 89101
14 Telephone: 702/382-1170
15 Facsimile: 702/382-1169
16 Email: zlarson@lzlawnv.com
17 mzirzow@lzlawnv.com

18 and

19 Bradford J. Sandler (*admitted pro hac vice*)
20 Shirley S. Cho (*admitted pro hac vice*)
21 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
22 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1300
23 Los Angeles, CA 90067
24 Telephone: 310/277-6910
25 Facsimile: 310/201-0760
26 Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
27 scho@pszjlaw.com

28 [Proposed] Attorneys for the
Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors